

A Tutorial on Using the R Package **FLLat**

Gen Nowak, Trevor Hastie, Jonathan R. Pollack, Robert Tibshirani and Nicholas Johnson

June 5, 2011

1 Introduction

This document provides a brief tutorial on using the package **FLLat**, which implements the Fused Lasso Latent Feature (FLLat) model (Nowak and others, 2011). FLLat is designed to identify regions of copy number variation (CNV) in multi-sample aCGH data. In particular, it takes advantage of any similarities shared among samples while maintaining the ability to identify any potential heterogeneity. FLLat is described in more detail below.

2 Fused Lasso Latent Feature model

Suppose we have a group of S samples of aCGH data, with each sample consisting of the observed log intensity ratios at L probe locations. The Fused Lasso Latent Feature model assumes that there exists a fixed number of features that can describe these samples and models each sample as a weighted combination of these features. Formally, the model is given by:

$$y_{ls} = \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_{lj} \theta_{js} + \epsilon_{ls}, \quad (1)$$

where y_{ls} denotes the observed log intensity ratio at probe location l for sample s , β_{lj} denotes the value of the j^{th} feature at probe location l , θ_{js} denotes the weight applied to the j^{th} feature for sample s and J is the number of features. It is a little easier to visualize when (1) is written using matrix notation:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Theta} + \mathbf{E}. \quad (2)$$

In (2), each column of \mathbf{Y} is a sample, each column of \mathbf{B} is a feature and each column of $\mathbf{\Theta}$ are the weights applied to the features to generate a sample.

We fit the model by minimizing the following criterion:

$$F(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{\Theta}) = \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\Theta}\|_F^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J P_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\beta_{.j}), \quad (3)$$

where $P_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\beta_{.j}) = \lambda_1 \sum_{l=1}^L |\beta_{lj}| + \lambda_2 \sum_{l=2}^L |\beta_{lj} - \beta_{l-1,j}|$. We have applied a fused lasso penalty to each feature, encouraging the features to be both sparse and smooth. This helps us to identify the regions of CNV.

3 Using FLLat

There are three main functions in the **FLLat** package, **FLLat**, **FLLat.BIC** and **FLLat.PVE**. The **FLLat** function fits the Fused Lasso Latent Feature model for given values of J (the number of features), and λ_1 and λ_2


(the fused lasso tuning parameters). The `FLLat.BIC` function determines the optimal values of λ_1 and λ_2 for a given value of J . The `FLLat.PVE` function aids in choosing an appropriate value of J . Unless the user has some pre-specified values of J , λ_1 and λ_2 which they would like to use, the usual steps would be to first run `FLLat.PVE` to choose J , then run `FLLat.BIC` to choose λ_1 and λ_2 . We will demonstrate these steps on a simulated data set, `simaCGH`, that is included in the package. This data set consists of 20 samples and 1000 probes and was generated using model (1) with 5 features. Further simulation details can be found in Nowak and others (2011).

The first step is to use the `FLLat.PVE` function. Given a sequence of values of J , this function calculates the percentage of variation explained (PVE) for each J , where the PVE is defined as:

$$\text{PVE}_J = 1 - \frac{\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{l=1}^L \left(y_{ls} - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{\beta}_{lj} \hat{\theta}_{js} \right)^2}{\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{l=1}^L (y_{ls} - \bar{y}_s)^2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{lj}$ and $\hat{\theta}_{js}$ are the estimates produced by `FLLat` and $\bar{y}_s = \sum_{l=1}^L y_{ls} / L$. The idea is that after a certain point, additional features will not significantly improve the estimated fit and the PVE will begin to flatten out. We can then choose the point at which the PVE begins to flatten out as the appropriate value of J . The code below runs `FLLat.PVE` on the data set `simaCGH` for $J = 1, \dots, 20$ and also plots the resulting PVEs:

```
> library(FLLat)
> data(simaCGH)
> result.pve <- FLLat.PVE(simaCGH, J.seq = 1:ncol(simaCGH))
> plot(result.pve)
```



We see from the PVE plot that the PVEs begin to flatten out after $J = 5$. Having chosen an appropriate value of J , we can run the `FLLat.BIC` function with this value of J to choose the tuning parameters. The `FLLat.BIC` function first re-parameterizes λ_1 and λ_2 in terms of $0 < \alpha < 1$ and λ_0 such that $\lambda_1 = \alpha \lambda_0$ and $\lambda_2 = (1 - \alpha) \lambda_0$. It then searches over a two dimensional grid of α and λ_0 values to choose the optimal values

by minimizing the following criterion:

$$(SL) \cdot \log \left(\frac{\|Y - \hat{B}\hat{\Theta}\|_F^2}{SL} \right) + k_{\alpha, \lambda_0} \log(SL), \quad (5)$$

where $k_{\alpha, \lambda_0} = \sum_{j=1}^J k_{\alpha, \lambda_0}(j)$ and $k_{\alpha, \lambda_0}(j)$ is the number of unique non-zero elements in the j^{th} feature. This criterion attempts to strike a balance between over- and under-fit models. The following code runs the `FLLat.BIC` function for $J = 5$:

```
> result.bic <- FLLat.BIC(simaCGH, J = 5)
> result.bic$lam1


[1] 1.027413

> result.bic$lam2

[1] 9.246716
```


The `FLLat.BIC` function also returns the fitted FLLat model (`opt.FLLat`) for the optimal values of the tuning parameters. We can plot the estimated features, which are plotted in order of decreasing magnitude, where the magnitude of a feature is given by $\sum_{l=1}^L \hat{\beta}_{jl}^2$.

```
> plot(result.bic$opt.FLLat)
```



These features indicate the main regions of CNV that are displayed by this group of 20 samples. We can also plot a heatmap of the estimated weights:

```
> plot(result.bic$opt.FLLat, what = "weights")
```



The yellow and blue denote positive and negative weights and indicate how prominently each feature appears in each sample. Also, the clustering of the samples (based on their weights) seems to show the existence of two main groups of samples.

References

G. Nowak, T. Hastie, J. R. Pollack and R. Tibshirani. A Fused Lasso Latent Feature Model for Analyzing Multi-Sample aCGH Data. *Biostatistics*, 2011, doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxr012